

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,849	11/05/2003	James C. Biggs	37505.0230	7800
33751	7590 06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	GREATBATCH TECH	HELLER, TAMMIE K		
,	IRLE DRIVE E, NY 14031			PAPER NUMBER
	,		3766	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/701,849	BIGGS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tammie Heller	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> .				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7,10-12,14-16,18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 2,4-6,8,9,13,17,19-21 and 23 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/04;11/5/03. 		ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/701,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the

disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single

paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that

the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract

on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The

abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether

there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information

given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The

disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure

describes," etc.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5, 14, and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is

unclear whether the "annular spring" in each of these claims is a second spring, in

addition to the claimed spring in claims 4 and 19, or simply a more specific description

of the spring of claims 4 and 19. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 1 and 12 recite the limitation "the polymeric body" in lines 11-12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the groove" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the spring" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 3, 7, 10-12, 16, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dantanarayana (U.S. Patent No. 5,336,246, cited by applicant). Regarding claims 1, 12, and 16, Dantanarayana discloses an implantable medical device including a header assembly comprising a body 5 mounted on the housing for the medical device (see Figure 2 and col. 3, ln. 52), at least one terminal supported by the body that is directly connectable to the conductor lead (see col. 4, ln. 44-45), and an intermediate conductor supported by the body which has a distal end connected to the

Application/Control Number: 10/701,849 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

terminal and a proximal end connected to the feedthrough wire (see col. 4, ln. 61-67 and col. 5, ln. 1-2).

- 10. Regarding claims 3 and 18, Dantanarayana discloses that the proximal end of the intermediate conductor wire comprises an enlarged head having a bore into which the feedthrough wire is receivable and connectable (see col. 5, In. 28-30).
- 11. Regarding claims 7 and 22, Dantanarayana discloses that the body of the header assembly is of a polymeric material (see col. 4, In. 43).
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Dantanarayana discloses that the housing for the medical device comprises mating first and second clam shells (see col. 3, ln. 62-65).
- 13. Regarding claim 11, Dantanarayana discloses that the medical device may be a pacemaker or cardiac defibrillator (see col. 1, ln. 8-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 13, 17, 19-21, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Paspa (U.S. Patent No. 5,662,692) which discloses a defibrillator including a header module.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,849 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tammie Heller whose telephone number is 571-272-

1986. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7am until

3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tammie K. Heller Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766

Robert E. Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766